

SUMMER I 2016

Dr. Mark Emerson

Office: LH 212

HIST. 4317 (3 units)

Office Hours: Everyday online

Sec. W1

Phone: office: 432-837-
8147 cell: 510-559-0601

Room: No Room Internet

E-Mail:memerson@sulross.edu

HISTORY 3303: EUROPE: ABSOLUTISM TO REVOLUTION, 1648-1815

COURSE DESCRIPTION:

HIST 3303 Reading Seminar on French Revolution: This undergraduate course is a reading based seminar. We will be exploring the world of the Absolutist Europe and the French Revolution. In particular, we will be looking at the use of violence and terror in the French Revolution.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS:

Assignments:

1. Reading/Discussion/Participation in Seminar (45% of final grade). Discussion Board assignments. There will be FOUR Discussion Board assignments. Each Board includes a list of readings that are assigned and then a writing component where you will be required to write some essays on the readings.
2. Bibliographic Review Essay 10 pages. This assignment consists of a discussion of the period 1688-1815 based on the primary sources from the Fordham online source and the two (or more if you do extra credit book) books assigned for the course. (Due July 5th by Noon)

Required Texts:

Internet Resources:

For the history of Absolutism:

<http://legacy.fordham.edu/Halsall/mod/modsbook05.asp>

For the history of the Enlightenment:

<http://legacy.fordham.edu/Halsall/mod/modsbook10.asp>

For the history of the French Revolution:

<http://legacy.fordham.edu/Halsall/mod/modsbook13.asp>

Books for Summer II:

Crisis, Absolutism, Revolution: Europe and the World, 1648-1789.

Raymond Birn

Pursuit of Glory: The Five Revolutions that made Europe, 1648-1815.

Tim Blanning and David Cannadine

Extra Credit (or Graduate extra reading)

The Coming of the French Revolution

Georges Lefebvre

Catherine the Great: Portrait of the Woman

Robert K. Massie

Liberty or Death: The French Revolution

Peter McPhee

Fatal Purity: Robespierre and the French Revolution

Ruth Scurr

Here are some links; one for suggestions for writing a history book review and another that has links to examples of book reviews:

http://www.libraries.uc.edu/research/subject_resources/history/bookrev.html

<http://apps.carleton.edu/curricular/history/study/criticalbookreview/>

Recommended:

Eat right and exercise. Nap as often as possible.

Guidelines and Responsibilities:

1. The seminar through the internet. It goes without saying that it is almost essential to login several times a week. There is a weekly Discussion Board reading and assignment (found under Discussion Board in Blackboard). You will post your final paper to Blackboard or you can e-mail me an attachment of your paper (memerson@sulross.edu)

-

GRADING

A Range = Outstanding. All assignment sections are turned in. Projects reflect thoughtful, analytical thinking and a thorough understanding of historical events and trends. Course participation and professionalism are exceptional.

g B Range = All assignment sections are turned in above average, but not outstanding work. Demonstrates understanding of historical events, but the analytical thinking is weaker than that for an "A".

g C Range = Average. All assignment sections are turned in, but indicate an average understanding of historical events. Work tends to be narrative rather than analytical. There is a need for improvement AND/OR written work is "fair." Course participation or professionalism may need improvement.

g D Range= below average. All assignment sections turned in, but writing is purely narrative, there is no analysis and barely answers the question assigned AND/OR assignments are incomplete, course participation and professionalism need substantial improvement.

g F Range= Fail: Assignments are not turned in or are "late without the instructor approval" AND/OR are substantially below average and fails to answer question AND/OR participation and professionalism need substantial improvement. Plagiarizing of course work or other unprofessional behavior will result in disciplinary action.

Grade Scale (Based on percentages)

	87-89 = B	77-79 = C	67-69 = D	0-59 = F
93+ = A	83-86 = B	73-76 = C	63-66 = D	
90-92 = A	80-82 = B	70-72 = C	60-62 = D	

Schedule of Assignments and Readings and Discussion Board:

Discussion Board One (June 1-7):

Read the following—

From: <http://legacy.fordham.edu/Halsall/mod/modsbook05.asp> Please read the selections under
Absolutism and France and the Ancien Regime

AND

From: Read the selections from Enlightenment in
<http://legacy.fordham.edu/Halsall/mod/modsbook10.asp>

Post a 5 or more paragraph essay discussing the documents (concentrating on the nature and rise of Absolutism and the Enlightenment).

Discussion Board Two (June 8-15):

Read the following:

From: <http://legacy.fordham.edu/Halsall/mod/modsbook13.asp>

Read the selections under the French Revolution and under Napoleon (read as many as you can)

Post a 5 or more paragraph essay discussing the rise and nature of the French Revolution and the rise of Napoleon.

Discussion Board Three (June 16-22):

Read *Crisis, Absolutism, Revolution: Europe and the World, 1648-1789*.

Raymond Birn

Post a 5 paragraph or more essay analyzing and discussing the book.

Discussion Board Four (June 23-29):

Read: *Pursuit of Glory: The Five Revolutions that made Europe, 1648-1815.*

Tim Blanning and David Cannadine

Post a 5 paragraph essay analyzing and discussing the book.

FINAL PAPER(s) DUE JULY 5!!

******Schedule is subject to change!!! Announcements will be made in class in advance of any changes.***

WRITING THE CRITICAL BOOK REVIEW

Dr. Emerson

Every book makes different demands on the reviewer. No single approach is right for all books. The suggestions that follow are just that; suggestions. Use as many of them as seem pertinent, but remain responsive to the book under consideration.

1. Reading the book

When you read, your critical faculty should be alert, but that doesn't mean you are poised for attack. You can do your best if you read in a spirit that is at once critical and sympathetic.

Read the whole book thoroughly and carefully. Reread what you don't understand. Don't skip forewords, prefaces, and other parts that may not appear integral to the text. What you learn here might help you to understand the book better. If possible, it's best to read the book twice, the first time to get an overview, the second time to test your impressions and gather detailed evidence.

Take notes as you read. The list that follows will give you an idea of what to watch for. Taking notes also helps you stay alert as you read, and gives you the opportunity to mark effective passages for

quoting.

2. Questions to ask as you read

What are the author's subject and the broad field into which the work fits?

What approach does the author take to the subject? What is the central thesis? What are the author's assumptions? What methodology is used?

What are the author's primary sources? How comprehensive is the research?

For whom is the book written? Fellow scholars? Non-academics? Is the book appropriate to its audience?

How is the book structured? Is its development orderly and logical? Is it clear?

Is the author's prose readable? Exceptionally good? Does the author have an intrusive style?

Does the book have illustrations? An index? Bibliography? What other features does it have? Are they effective and useful?

How appropriate is the book's title? Does it promise essentially what the book delivers?

Are you aware of factual errors in the book? Oversights? Faulty assumptions?

Why was the book written? Has the author met these objectives?

What is your personal response to the book? Is it satisfying to read? Is it enjoyable? Convincing? Why? If it isn't, why not?

3. Writing the review

Writing a book review is much like writing any other short essay. There is no universal formula, but following a few basic guidelines can simplify the task.

Review your notes and list the points you'd like to make.

Arrange those points in a logical order. Time spent now on organization not only produces a strong, clear structure, but also allows you to concentrate on phrasing during the writing of the first draft. One possible way of setting up the essay is like this:

A brief description of the subject, aim, and scope of the book

An outline of its thesis and its bias

A detailed assessment of the author's main contentions

An evaluation of the book's major strengths and weaknesses

An assessment of the book's place in the literature of its subject

*****Write the review in your own words (do not plagiarize!) and DO NOT just summarize (follow the above instructions!!)**

Write the first draft, not stopping to fine tune the phrasing, but aiming to get onto the paper all that you have to say.

After some time has elapsed, read the draft critically, noting where it is ambiguous, incomplete, or overwritten.

Read the second draft, checking for errors in grammar and punctuation, and making sure that you have said just what you meant.

Type the final draft.

Proofread the typed copy, and correct as necessary to ensure that it is free from errors.

Turn in **on or before** the due date. **.DUE JULY 5TH**

